

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD
Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE, 78TH LEGISLATIVE REGULAR SESSION

April 23, 2003

TO: Honorable Jane Nelson, Chair, Senate Committee on Health & Human Services

FROM: John Keel, Director, Legislative Budget Board

IN RE: HB653 by Davis, John (Relating to a shampoo apprentice permit.), **As Engrossed**

Estimated Two-year Net Impact to General Revenue Related Funds for HB653, As Engrossed: an impact of \$0 through the biennium ending August 31, 2005.

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

General Revenue-Related Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Net Positive/(Negative) Impact to General Revenue Related Funds
2004	\$0
2005	\$0
2006	\$0
2007	\$0
2008	\$0

All Funds, Five-Year Impact:

Fiscal Year	Probable Revenue Gain/ (Loss) from GENERAL REVENUE FUND 1	Probable Savings/(Cost) from GENERAL REVENUE FUND 1	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 2003
2004	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	0.5
2005	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	0.5
2006	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	0.5
2007	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	0.5
2008	\$15,000	(\$15,000)	0.5

Fiscal Analysis

The bill would amend Chapter 1602 of the Occupations Code by amending Section 1602.253 to add persons applying for a shampoo apprentice permit to the list of persons that must submit a health certificate. The bill would also add Section 1602.267 requiring the issuance of a shampoo apprentice permit. The bill would prohibit the Cosmetology Commission from charging a fee for the shampoo apprentice permit.

The bill would direct the Cosmetology Commission to adopt ruling implementing Section 1602.267 of the Occupations Code no later than January 1, 2004.

The bill would take effect September 1, 2003.

Methodology

The agency estimates 3,000 cosmetology students would apply for a shampoo apprentice permit per year. In order to process applications from these students, as well as investigate an anticipated increase in complaints, the agency estimates 0.5 FTEs will be required, at a cost of \$15,000 per year.

It is assumed the agency would adjust fees to offset any costs or revenue gains due to the implementation of the bill.

Local Government Impact

No fiscal implication to units of local government is anticipated.

Source Agencies: 505 Cosmetology Commission

LBB Staff: JK, EB, JRO, RT, JC